

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	T NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	_	
10/632,537		0	8/01/2003	Paul V. Goode JR.	DEXCOM.024A	A 2669		
	20995	20995 7590 12/21/2004				EXAMINER		
	KNOBBE M	ARTEN	S OLSON &	NGH	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P			
	2040 MAIN S'	TREET						
	FOURTEENT	H FLOO	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	IRVINE, CA	92614		2863				

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Community	10/632,537	GOODE ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Michael P Nghiem	2863						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 2-9,11-18,21-27,29,31,32 and 34-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 9,18,27,38-41 and 46-49 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-8,11-17,21-26,29,31,32,34-37 and 42-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-30-04</u> .	6)							

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawal of Allowability

1. The indicated allowability of claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 24, 25, 29, 31, and 32 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Bartkowiak et al. (US 2003/0235817). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2-8, 11-17, 21-26, 29, 31, 32, 34-37, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bartkowiak et al. (US 2003/0235817).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 24-26, 29, 31, and 32, Bartkowiak et al. discloses a method and system for evaluating clinical acceptability of at least one of reference and sensor analyte data (paragraph 0326, lines 16-21), the method comprising:

- receiving a data stream from an analyte sensor (data received by analyte monitoring device, paragraph 0326, line 19), including one or more sensor data points (paragraph 0271, lines 3-4);
- receiving reference data from a reference analyte monitor (paragraph 0326, lines 16-18), including one or more reference data points (paragraph 0326, line 17);
- evaluating the clinical acceptability at least one of said reference and sensor analyte data using substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data (paragraph 0326, lines 16-23), wherein said at least one of said reference and sensor analyte data is evaluated for deviation from its substantially time corresponding reference or sensor data (paragraph 0326, lines 21-23) and clinical risk associated with that deviation based on the glucose value indicated by at least one of said sensor and reference data (paragraph 0332, lines 1-2).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 34, and 42, Bartkowiak et al. discloses providing an output (display component, paragraph 0355, lines 8-12) through a user interface (user interface of display) responsive to said clinical acceptability evaluation (paragraph 0355, lines 4-12).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 21, 22, 35, 36, 43, and 44, Bartkowiak et al. discloses that the step of providing an output includes alerting the a user based on said clinical acceptability evaluation (paragraph 0128, lines 4-5).

Regarding claims 5, 14, 23, 37, and 45, Bartkowiak et al. discloses that the step of alerting the user interface includes at least trend information (paragraph 0267, lines 1-6).

Regarding claims 6, 15, and 24, Bartkowiak et al. further discloses that the step of evaluating the clinical acceptability includes using one of a Clarke Error Grid (paragraph 0326, lines 13-15).

Regarding claims 7, 16, and 25, Bartkowiak et al. further discloses requesting additional reference data if said clinical acceptability evaluation determines clinical unacceptability (reference data for 5 categories, paragraph 0326, lines 16-20).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Bartkowiak et al. discloses repeating the clinical acceptability evaluation step for said additional reference data (deemed to be repeated for other categories).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9, 18, 27, 38-41, and 46-49 are allowed.

Reasons For Allowance

4. The combination or method as claimed wherein matching reference data to substantially time corresponding sensor data to form a matched pair after the clinical acceptability evaluation step (claims 9, 18, 27) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the 5. new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P Nghiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEM 'PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

December 15, 2004